

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**GERALD RAIDER**

**v.**

**STATE FARM LLOYDS**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_**  
**JURY**

---

**INDEX OF STATE COURT PLEADINGS**

---

1.	Register of Actions	as of 05/02/2017
2.	Plaintiff's Original Petition	03/31/2017
3.	Civil Process Request	03/31/2017
4.	Civil Case Information Sheet	03/31/2017
5.	Dismissal Notice	04/03/2017
6.	Return of Service	04/11/2017 (served 04/04/2017)
7.	Defendant's Original Answer	04/28/2017
8.	Jury Trial Setting	05/04/2017

## **Tab 1**

## Case Information

DC-17-03855 | GERALD RAIDER vs. STATE FARM LLOYDS

Case Number	Court	File Date
DC-17-03855	101st District Court	03/31/2017
Case Type	Case Status	
CNTR CNSMR COM DEBT	OPEN	

## Party

### PLAINTIFF

RAIDER, GERALD

#### Address

7305 BRYN MAWR DRIVE  
ROWLETT TX 75089

#### Active Attorneys ▼

Lead Attorney  
MCCLENNY, JAMES M  
Retained

#### Work Phone

713-334-6121

#### Fax Phone

713-322-5953

### DEFENDANT

STATE FARM LLOYDS

#### Address

SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY  
211 EAST 7TH STREET  
AUSTIN TX 78701

#### Active Attorneys ▼

Lead Attorney  
DE DIEGO, ARMANDO  
Retained

#### Work Phone

214-426-1220

#### Fax Phone

214-426-1246

## Events and Hearings

03/31/2017 NEW CASE FILED (OCA) - CIVIL

03/31/2017 ORIGINAL PETITION ▼

2017-03-31 POP(272).pdf

03/31/2017 CORRESPONDENCE - LETTER TO FILE ▼

03/31/2017 CASE FILING COVER SHEET ▼

InfoSheet - MAC.pdf

03/31/2017 ISSUE CITATION ▼

ISSUE CITATION

03/31/2017 JURY DEMAND

04/04/2017 CITATION ▼

Anticipated Server

CERTIFIED MAIL

Anticipated Method

Actual Server

CERTIFIED MAIL

Returned

04/20/2017

Comment

CERT MAIL/DB 9214 8901 0661 5400 0104 9927 57

04/12/2017 RETURN OF SERVICE ▼

RETURN OF SERVICE

Comment

EXEC CIT TO STATEFARM LLOYDS ON 4/11/17 CERT MAIL

04/28/2017 ORIGINAL ANSWER - GENERAL DENIAL ▼

Original Answer of State Farm Lloyds.pdf

05/26/2017 DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION ▼

101st Dismissal Letter - 2017

101st Dismissal Letter - 2017

Judicial Officer

WILLIAMS, STACI

Hearing Time

9:00 AM

**Financial**

RAIDER, GERALD

Total Financial Assessment

\$433.00

Total Payments and Credits

\$433.00

4/3/2017 Transaction Assessment

\$433.00

4/3/2017 CREDIT CARD - TEXFILE (DC) Receipt # 20764-2017-DCLK RAIDER, GERALD (\$433.00)

**Documents**

2017-03-31 POP(272).pdf



101st Dismissal Letter - 2017

101st Dismissal Letter - 2017

ISSUE CITATION

RETURN OF SERVICE

Original Answer of State Farm Lloyds.pdf

## **Tab 2**

FILED  
DALLAS COUNTY  
3/31/2017 3:32:23 PM  
FELICIA PITRE  
DISTRICT CLERK

1 CT-CERT-MAIL

CAUSE NO. DC-17-03855

Freeney Anita

GERALD RAIDER	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
<i>Plaintiff</i>	§	
	§	
V.	§	DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
STATE FARM LLOYDS	§	E-101ST
	§	_____ JUDICIAL DISTRICT
<i>Defendant</i>	§	
	§	

---

**PLAINTIFF GERALD RAIDER'S ORIGINAL PETITION**

---

**TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:**

**COMES NOW**, Gerald Raider, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), complaining of State Farm Lloyds, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") and for cause of action would respectfully show unto this Honorable Court and Jury as follows:

**DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN**

1. Plaintiff intends for discovery to be conducted under Level 2 of Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 190.3 and affirmatively pleads that this suit is not governed by the expedited-actions process of Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 169 because Plaintiff seeks monetary relief of over \$100,000.00.

**PARTIES**

2. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Dallas County, Texas.
3. State Farm Lloyds is a domestic insurance company engaging in the business of insurance in the State of Texas. Defendant may be served with process by serving its registered agent of service, Corporation \_\_\_\_\_

Service Company, located at the following address: 211 East 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218.

### **JURISDICTION**

4. The Court has jurisdiction over this cause of action because the amount in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of the Court.
5. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is a domestic insurance company that engages in the business of insurance in the State of Texas and Plaintiff's causes of action arise out of Defendant's business activities in the State of Texas. Specifically, State Farm Lloyds sought out and marketed for insurance in Texas and has "purposefully availed" itself of the privilege of conducting activities in Texas. *Kelly v. General Interior Constr., Inc.*, 301 S.W.3d 653, 660-61 (Tex. 2010).

### **VENUE**

6. Venue is proper in Dallas County, Texas, because the Property is situated in Dallas County, Texas.  
TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 15.032.

### **FACTS**

7. Plaintiff purchased a policy from Defendant, which was in effect at the time of loss.
8. The Policy was purchased to insure Plaintiff's property, which is located at 7305 Bryn Mawr Drive, Rowlett, Texas 75089.
9. Defendant and/or its agent sold the Policy insuring the Property to Plaintiff.
10. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA") because he is an individual who sought or acquired by purchase or lease, goods or services, for commercial, personal or household use.

11. On or about December 26, 2015, Plaintiff experienced a severe weather related event, namely a tornado, which caused substantial damage to the Property and surrounding homes and businesses in the area. The Property's damage constitutes a covered loss under the Policy issued by Defendant.
12. Plaintiff reported the claim on December 29, 2015. He was unable to report the claim because the cell phone towers stopped working on the day of the storm. When he reported the claim, Defendant's adjuster asked him whether his home was livable. Plaintiff responded in the affirmative. In response, Defendant's adjuster said other people were in need to get into livable housing so she would call at a later time. When Defendant's adjuster called Plaintiff back, she stated that Plaintiff's deductible was \$7,800.00 and that there was no use in going to his house.
13. Defendant improperly, wrongful, and egregious decision to refuse to investigate and evaluate Plaintiff's claim. Defendant had a duty to Plaintiff to investigate, evaluate, and determine coverage for the claim. Defendant breached this duty when it represented to him that because of his inability to pay the deductible, he there would be no point in coming to inspect the property. Irrespective of the deductible amount, Defendant has an obligation to its insured.
14. Plaintiff has suffered severe and continuing damages because of Defendant's bad faith conduct. Specifically, Plaintiff was forced to make temporary emergency repairs including fixing his backyard fence, patching the roof, fixing his air conditioning unit. He has been unable to fix the sheet rock in his home and garage and the window screens.
15. Defendant's improper conduct forced Plaintiff to hire a professional estimate writer to properly evaluate his claim. On or around November 29, 2016, Plaintiff's professional estimate writer inspected the

property. Plaintiff's professional estimate writer estimated the value of the damages to be \$42,235.50.

Plaintiff's professional estimate writer required a full roof replacement due to the tornado damage.

Specifically, the professional estimate writer estimated for the removal and replacement of the roofing felt and 36 squares of composition roofing shingle material. Additionally, the professional estimate writer recommended removing and replacing the ridge caps, drip edge, chimney flashing, flashing, and roof vents. Plaintiff's professional estimate writer also provided for an ice and water shield per International Building Code 2012, Chapter 1507.2.8.2, which states in areas where there has been a history of ice forming along the eaves causing a back up of water, an ice barrier that consists of at least 2 layers of underlayment cemented together or a self-adhering polymer modified bitumen sheet shall be used and extend from the lowest edges of all roof surfaces to a point at least 2 inches inside the exterior wall line. The City of Rowlett has adopted the 2012 International Building Code.

16. With respect to the roof replacement, Plaintiff's professional estimate writer included compliance with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA"). OSHA requires that when working on buildings with "unprotected sides and edges" that "each employee on a walking/working surface (horizontal and vertical surface) with an unprotected side or edge which is 6 feet (1.8m) or more above a lower level shall be protected from falling by the use of guardrail systems, safety net systems, or personal fall arrest systems." Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 § 1926.501(b)(1).
17. Additionally, Plaintiff's professional estimate writer provided for the removal, replacement, and staining of the wood fence. With respect to the living room, dining room, and garage, Plaintiff's professional estimate writer estimated for the removal and replacement of the drywall and paint. Moreover,

Plaintiff's professional estimate writer estimated for the removal and replacement of 6 windows and a central air conditioning system.

18. Despite its duty to investigate the loss, Defendant failed to inspect the property and furnish and estimate.

As a result of Defendant's improper conduct, Plaintiff's claim was intentionally and knowingly underpaid.

19. Defendant's assigned adjuster acted as an authorized agent of Defendant. Defendant's assigned adjuster acted within the course and scope of her authority as authorized by Defendant. Plaintiff relied on Defendant and Defendant's assigned adjuster to properly adjust the claim regarding the property and to be issued payment to fix such damage, which did not happen and has not been rectified to date.

20. Defendant failed to perform its contractual duties to adequately compensate Plaintiff under the terms of the policy. Specifically, Defendant refused to investigate the loss or pay the full proceeds owed under the policy. Due demand was made by Plaintiff for proceeds to be in an amount sufficient to cover the damaged property.

21. All conditions precedent to recovery under the policy had, and have, been carried out and accomplished by Plaintiff.

22. As a result of Defendant's wrongful acts and omissions, Plaintiff was forced to retain the professional services of McClenny Moseley & Associates, PLLC, who is representing Plaintiff with respect to these causes of action.

**AGENCY**

23. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
24. All acts by Defendant were undertaken and completed by its officers, agents, servants, employees, and/or representatives. All such acts were either done with the full authorization or ratification of Defendant and/or were completed in its normal and routine course and scope of employment.
25. Defendant and Defendant's assigned adjuster's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a). All violations under this subsection are made actionable by TEX. INS. CODE § 541.151.
26. Defendant is liable for the unfair and deceptive acts of its assigned adjuster because he/she meets the definition of a "person" as defined by the Texas Insurance Code. The term "person" is defined as "any individual, corporation, association, partnership, reciprocal or inter insurance exchange, Lloyds plan, fraternal benefit society, or other legal entity engaged in the business of insurance, including an agent, broker, *adjuster* or life and health insurance counselor." TEX. INS. CODE §541.002(2) (emphasis added); *see also Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Garrison Contractors, Inc.* 966 S.W.2d 482, 484 (Tex. 1998) (holding an insurance company employee to be a person for the purpose of bringing a cause of action against them under the Texas Insurance Code and subjecting them to individual liability).

### **NEGLIGENCE**

27. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.



28. Plaintiff entrusted Defendant to properly adjust Plaintiff's insurance claim for the property damage.

Defendant refused to inspect the property and furnish an estimate because of the high deductible.

Defendant owed a duty to ensure that the property damage was properly adjusted. Nevertheless,

Defendant failed to ensure that Plaintiff's damage was properly adjusted. This failure is a clear breach of

Defendant's duty, and as a result, Plaintiff suffered significant injuries.

29. Defendant and its assigned adjuster had and owed a legal duty to Plaintiff to properly adjust all losses

associated with the Property. Defendant, individually and through its assigned adjuster, breached this

duty in a number of ways, including, but not limited to, the following:

A. Defendant, individually and through its assigned adjuster, was to exercise due care in adjusting and paying policy proceeds regarding the Property;

B. Defendant, individually and through its assigned adjuster, had a duty to competently and completely handle and pay all covered losses associated with the Property;

C. Defendant, individually and through its assigned adjuster, failed to properly complete all adjusting activities associated with Plaintiff's damages; and,

D. Defendant's acts, omissions, and/or breaches, individually and through its assigned adjuster, did great damage to Plaintiff, and were a proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.

### **BREACH OF CONTRACT**

30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.

31. Defendant's conduct constitutes a breach of the insurance contract made between Defendant and Plaintiff. According to the Policy, which Plaintiff purchased, Defendant had the absolute duty to investigate Plaintiff's damages, and pay Plaintiff policy benefits for the claims made due to the extensive storm-related damages. Defendant did not investigate the property.
32. As a result of the storm-related event, Plaintiff suffered extreme damages due to the tornado. Despite objective evidence of the tornado-related damages provided by Plaintiff and his representatives, Defendant breached its contractual obligations under the policy by failing to investigate and pay Plaintiff cost related benefits to properly repair the property, as well as for related losses associated with the subject loss event. As a result of this breach, Plaintiff has suffered additional actual and consequential damages.

**VIOLATIONS OF THE TEXAS DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT**

33. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
34. Defendant and/or its assigned adjuster engaged in false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices that constitute violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act ("DTPA"), which is codified in the Texas Business and Commerce Code ("TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE"), including but not limited to:
- A. Representing that an agreement confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations which it does not have or involve, or which are prohibited by law (TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE § 17.46(b)(12));

- B. Misrepresenting the authority of a salesman, representative, or agent to negotiate the final terms of a consumer transaction (§ 17.46(b)(14));
- C. Failing to disclose information concerning goods or services which were known at the time of the transaction, and the failure to disclose such information was intended to induce the consumer into a transaction into which the consumer would not have entered had such information been disclosed (§ 17.46(b)(24));
- D. Using or employing an act or practice in violation of the Texas Insurance Code (§ 17.50(a)(4));
- E. Unreasonably delaying the investigation, adjustment, settlement offer and prompt resolution of Plaintiff's claim (TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(2)-(5));
- F. Failure to properly investigate Plaintiff's claim (§ 541.060(7)); and/or
- G. Hiring and relying upon a biased adjuster, in this case Defendant's assigned adjuster, to obtain a favorable, results-oriented report, and to assist Defendant in severely underpaying and/or denying Plaintiff's damage claim (TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE § 17.46(31)).

35. As described in this Original Petition, Defendant represented to Plaintiff that his Policy and State Farm Lloyds's adjusting and investigative services had characteristics or benefits that it actually did not have, which gives Plaintiff the right to recover proceeds. TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE § 17.46(b)(5).

36. As described in this Original Petition, Defendant represented to Plaintiff that his Policy and State Farm Lloyds's adjusting and investigative services were of a particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of another, which stands in violation of § 17.46 (b)(7).
37. By Defendant representing that they would pay the entire amount needed by Plaintiff to repair the damages caused by the weather related event and then not doing so, Defendant has violated §§ 17.46 (b)(5), (7), (12).
38. Defendant has breached an express warranty that the damage caused by the storm-related event would be covered under Policy. This breach entitles Plaintiff to recover under §§ 17.46 (b) (12), (20); 17.50 (a)(2).
39. Defendant's actions, as described herein, are unconscionable in that Defendant took advantage of Plaintiff's lack of knowledge, ability, and experience to a grossly unfair degree. Therefore, Defendant's unconscionable conduct gives Plaintiff the right to relief under § 17.50(a)(3).
40. Defendant's conduct, acts, omissions, and failures, as described in this Original Petition, are unfair practices in the business of insurance and are in violation of § 17.50 (a)(4).
41. Plaintiff is a consumer, as defined under the DTPA, and relied upon these false, misleading, and/or deceptive acts and/or practices, made by Defendant, to his detriment. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's collective acts and conduct, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, for which Plaintiff now sues. All of the aforementioned acts, omissions, and failures of Defendant are a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages which are described in this Original Petition.

42. Because Defendant's collective actions and conduct were committed knowingly and intentionally, in addition to all damages described herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover mental anguish damages and additional penalty damages, in an amount not to exceed three times such actual damages. § 17.50(b)(1).
43. As a result of Defendant's unconscionable, misleading, and deceptive actions and conduct, Plaintiff has been forced to retain the legal services of the undersigned attorneys to protect and pursue these claims on his behalf. Accordingly, Plaintiff also seeks to recover his costs and reasonable and necessary attorney's fees as permitted under § 17.50(d), as well as any other such damages to which Plaintiff may show himself to be justly entitled by law and in equity.

**VIOLATIONS OF THE TEXAS INSURANCE CODE**

44. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth within the foregoing paragraphs.
45. Defendant and/or its assigned adjuster's actions constitute violations of the Texas Insurance Code ("TEX. INS. CODE"), Chapters 541 and 542, including but not limited to:
- A. Misrepresenting to Plaintiff pertinent facts or policy provisions relating to the coverage at issue (TEX. INS. CODE § 541.060(a)(1));
  - B. Failing to attempt, in good faith, to effectuate a prompt, fair and equitable settlement of a claim with respect to which the insurer's liability has become reasonably clear (§ 541.060(a)(2)(A));

- C. Failing to promptly provide to a policyholder a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the insurer's denial of a claim or for the offer of a compromise settlement of a claim (§ 541.060(a)(3));
- D. Failing to affirm or deny coverage of Plaintiff's claim within a reasonable time and failing within a reasonable time to submit a reservation of rights letter to Plaintiff (§ 541.060(a)(4));
- E. Refusing, failing, or unreasonably delaying a settlement offer on the basis that other coverage is available (§ 541.060 (a)(5));
- F. Refusing, to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claim (§ 541.060(a)(7));
- G. Forcing Plaintiffs to file suit to recover amounts due under the policy by refusing to pay all benefits due (§ 542.003(b)(5));
- H. Misrepresenting an insurance policy by failing to disclose any matter required by law to be disclosed, including a failure to make such disclosure in accordance with another provision of this code (§ 541.061(5));
- I. Engaging in false, misleading, and deceptive acts or practices under the DTPA (§541.151(2));
- J. Failing to acknowledge receipt of the claim, commence any investigation of the claim, and request from the claimant all items, statements, and forms the insurer reasonably

believes at that time will be required from the claimant no later than the 15th day after the receipt of notice of the claim (§ 542.055);

K. Failing to notify the claimant in writing of the acceptance or rejection of a claim no later than the 15th business day after the insurer receives all items, statements, and forms required by the insurer to secure a final proof of loss (§ 542.056(a));

L. Failing to state the reasons for rejection (§ 542.056(c));

M. Failing to notify the claimant of the reasons that the insurer needs 45 days in additional time to accept or reject the claim (§ 542.056(d));

N. Failing to pay a claim not later than the 5th business day after the date of notice of acceptance was made (§ 542.057); and/or

O. Failing to pay a valid claim after receiving all reasonably requested and required items from the insured. (§ 542.058(a)).

46. By its acts, omissions, failures and conduct, Defendant has engaged in unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the business of insurance. Plaintiff, the insured and beneficiary, has a valid claim as a result of his detrimental reliance upon Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices. § 541.151(2).

47. Defendant's aforementioned conduct compelled Plaintiff to initiate this lawsuit to recover amounts due under the Policy. Defendant refused to investigate the claim due to the high deductible, despite knowing there were severe actual damages to the property. Defendant's continued refusal to investigate and offer a reasonable settlement compelled Plaintiff to file suit. § 542.003(5).

48. Since a violation of the Texas Insurance Code is a direct violation of the DTPA, and because Defendant's actions and conduct were committed knowingly and intentionally, Plaintiff is entitled to recover, in addition to all damages described herein, mental anguish damages and additional penalty damages, in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of actual damages, for Defendant having knowingly, intentionally and/or negligently committed said actions and conduct. § 541.152.

49. As a result of Defendant's unfair and deceptive actions and conduct, Plaintiff has been forced to retain the legal services of the undersigned attorneys to protect and pursue these claims on his behalf. Accordingly, Plaintiff also seeks to recover his costs and reasonable and necessary attorney's fees as permitted under TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE § 17.50(d) or TEX. INS. CODE § 541.152 and any other such damages to which Plaintiff may show himself justly entitled by law and in equity.

**BREACH OF THE COMMON LAW DUTY  
OF GOOD FAITH & FAIR DEALING**

50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances in the foregoing paragraphs.

51. From and after the time Plaintiff's claim was presented to Defendant, the liability of Defendant to pay the full claim in accordance with the terms of the policy was more than reasonably clear. However, Defendant has refused to pay Plaintiff in full, despite there being no basis whatsoever on which a reasonable insurance company would have relied on to deny full payment. Defendant's conduct constitutes a breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing. *See Viles v. Security National Ins. Co.*, 788 S.W.2d 556, 567 (Tex. 1990) (holding that an insurer has a duty to its insureds to "investigate claims thoroughly and in good faith" and an insurer can only deny a claim after a thorough investigation shows that there is a reasonable basis to deny that claim).



52. For the breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from Defendant's breach of the duty, such additional costs, economic hardship, losses due to nonpayment of the amount owed to Plaintiff, and/or exemplary damages for emotional distress.

### **KNOWLEDGE**

53. Each of the acts described above, together and singularly, were done "knowingly" and "intentionally," as the terms are used in the Texas Insurance Code, and were a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages described herein.

### **DAMAGES**

54. Plaintiff will show that all of the aforementioned acts, taken together or singularly, constitute the producing causes of the damages sustained by Plaintiff.

55. For breach of contract, Plaintiff is entitled to regain the benefit of Plaintiff's bargain, which is the amount of Plaintiff's claim, together with attorney's fees.

56. For noncompliance with the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages, which include the loss of the benefit that should have been paid pursuant to the policy, court costs and attorney's fees. For knowing conduct of the acts complained of, Plaintiff asks for three times Plaintiff's actual damages. TEX. INS. CODE § 541.152.

57. For noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims, Plaintiff is entitled to the amount of Plaintiff's claim, interest on the claim at the rate of eighteen (18) percent per year, together with attorney's fees. § 542.060.

58. For breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from the insurer's breach of duty, such as additional costs, economic hardship, losses due to nonpayment of the amount the insurer owed, and/or exemplary damages for emotional distress.

59. For the prosecution and collection of this claim, Plaintiff has been compelled to engage the services of the law firm whose name is subscribed to this pleading. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum for the reasonable and necessary services of Plaintiff's attorneys in the preparation and trial of this action, including any appeals to the Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court of Texas.

60. Defendant's acts have been the producing and/or proximate cause of damage to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff seeks an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.

61. More specifically, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney's fees, in excess of \$200,000.00 but less than \$1,000,000.00.

#### **ADDITIONAL DAMAGES & PENALTIES**

62. Defendant's conduct was committed knowingly and intentionally. Accordingly, Defendant is liable for additional damages under the DTPA, TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE § 17.50(b)(1), as well as all operative provisions of the Texas Insurance Code. Plaintiff is clearly entitled to the 18% damages allowed under TEX. INS. CODE § 542.060.

**ATTORNEY'S FEES**

63. In addition, Plaintiff is entitled to all reasonable and necessary attorney's fees pursuant to the Texas Insurance Code, DTPA, and TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §§ 38.001-.005.

**COMPEL MEDIATION**

64. Pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE § 541.161 and TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE § 17.5051, Plaintiff requests that Defendant be made to mediate no later than the 30th day of the signed order, following the 90th day after the date for which this pleading for relief is served upon Defendant.

**JURY DEMAND**

65. Plaintiff demands a jury trial, consisting of citizens residing in Dallas County, Texas, and tenders the appropriate fee with this Original Petition.

**DISCOVERY**

66. Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 47 has been met in this petition. As such, Plaintiff requests that Defendant respond to the Requests for Disclosure, Requests for Production and Interrogatories contained herein:

**I. REQUESTS FOR DISCLOSURE**

1. Pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff request that Defendant State Farm Lloyds, disclose all information and/or material as required by Rule 194.2, paragraphs (a) through (l), and to do so within 50 days of this request.

**II. REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION**

1. Please produce State Farm Lloyds's complete claim files from the home, regional and local offices, as well as third party adjusters/adjusting firms regarding the subject claim, including copies of the

file jackets, “field” files and notes, and drafts of documents contained in the file for the premises relating to or arising out of Plaintiff’s underlying claim.

2. Please produce the underwriting files referring or relating in any way to the policy at issue in this action, including the file folders in which the underwriting documents are kept and drafts of all documents in the file.
3. Please produce a certified copy of the insurance policy pertaining to the claim made subject of this lawsuit, including all underwriting files and insurance applications sent on behalf of Plaintiff in his attempt to secure insurance on the Property, which is the subject of this suit.
4. Please produce the electronic diary, including the electronic and paper notes made by State Farm Lloyds’s claims personnel, contractors, and third party adjusters/adjusting firms relating to the Plaintiff’s claim.
5. Please produce all emails and other forms of communication by and between all parties in this matter relating to the underlying event, claim or the Property, which is the subject of this suit.
6. Please produce the adjusting reports, estimates and appraisals prepared concerning Plaintiff’s underlying claim.
7. Please produce the field notes, measurements and file maintained by the adjuster(s) and engineers who physically inspected the Property, which is the subject of this suit.
8. Please produce the emails, instant messages and internal correspondence pertaining to Plaintiff’s underlying claim.

9. Please produce the videotapes, photographs and recordings of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's home, regardless of whether State Farm Lloyds intends to offer these items into evidence at trial.
10. Please produce all communications, correspondence, documents and emails between any and all assigned adjusters and/or agents and the Plaintiff, not limited to physical or audio recordings of all conversations between Plaintiff and any and all assigned adjusters and/or agents.
11. Please produce all audio recordings or transcripts of conversations, calls, text, email or any other data sent to and from Plaintiff by any and all assigned adjusters and/or agents after their letter of representation sent by counsel.
12. Please provide copies of all marketing material sent on behalf of State Farm Lloyds and/or its agents after the date of loss of the Property, which is the subject of this suit.
13. Please provide all correspondence between State Farm Lloyds and its assigned adjuster, and all correspondence between State Farm Lloyds and its assigned agents, after the date of loss of the Property, which is the subject of this suit.

### **III. INTERROGATORIES**

1. Please identify any person State Farm Lloyds expects to call to testify at the time of trial.
2. Please identify the persons involved in the investigation and handling of Plaintiff's claim for insurance benefits arising from damage relating to the underlying event, claim or the Property, which is the subject of this suit, and include a brief description of the involvement of each person identified, their employer, and the date(s) of such involvement.

3. If State Farm Lloyds or State Farm Lloyds's representatives performed any investigative steps in addition to what is reflected in the claims file, please generally describe those investigative steps conducted by State Farm Lloyds or any of State Farm Lloyds's representatives with respect to the facts surrounding the circumstances of the subject loss. Identify the persons involved in each step.
4. Please identify by date, author, and result the estimates, appraisals, engineering, mold and other reports generated as a result of State Farm Lloyds's investigation.
5. Please state the following concerning notice of claim and timing of payment:
  - a. The date and manner in which State Farm Lloyds received notice of the claim;
  - b. The date and manner in which State Farm Lloyds acknowledged receipt of the claim;
  - c. The date and manner in which State Farm Lloyds commenced investigation of the claim;
  - d. The date and manner in which State Farm Lloyds requested from the claimant all items, statements, and forms that State Farm Lloyds reasonably believed, at the time, would be required from the claimant pursuant to the investigation; and
  - e. The date and manner in which State Farm Lloyds notified the claimant in writing of the acceptance or rejection of the claim.
6. Please identify by date, amount and reason, the insurance proceeds payments made by Defendant, or on Defendant's behalf, to the Plaintiff.
7. Has Plaintiff's claim for insurance benefits been rejected or denied? If so, state the reasons for rejecting/denying the claim.
8. When was the date State Farm Lloyds anticipated litigation?

9. Have any documents (including those maintained electronically) relating to the investigation or handling of Plaintiff's claim for insurance benefits been destroyed or disposed of? If so, please identify what, when and why the document was destroyed, and describe State Farm Lloyds's document retention policy.
10. Does State Farm Lloyds contend that the insured's premises were damaged by storm-related events and/or any excluded peril? If so, state the general factual basis for this contention.
11. Does State Farm Lloyds contend that any act or omission by the Plaintiff voided, nullified, waived or breached the insurance policy in any way? If so, state the general factual basis for this contention.
12. Does State Farm Lloyds contend that the Plaintiff failed to satisfy any condition precedent or covenant of the Policy in any way? If so, state the general factual basis for this contention.
13. How is the performance of the adjuster(s) involved in handling Plaintiff's claim evaluated? State what performance measures are used and describe State Farm Lloyds's bonus or incentive plan for adjusters.

### **CONCLUSION**

67. Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant State Farm Lloyds and that Plaintiff be awarded all of his actual damages, consequential damages, prejudgment interest, additional statutory damages, post judgment interest, reasonable and necessary attorney's fees, court costs and for all such other relief, general or specific, in law or in equity, whether pled or un-pled within this Original Petition.

**PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays he be awarded all such relief to which he is due as a result of the acts of Defendant State Farm Lloyds, and for all such other relief to which Plaintiff may be justly and rightfully entitled. In addition, Plaintiff requests the award of treble damages under the Texas Insurance Code, attorney's fees for the trial and any appeal of this lawsuit, for all costs of Court on his behalf expended, for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, and for any other and further relief, either at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may show the himself to be justly entitled.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/ James M. McClenny

**MCCLENNY MOSELEY & ASSOCIATES, PLLC**

James M. McClenny

State Bar No. 24091857

J. Zachary Moseley

State Bar No. 24092863

Derek L. Fadner

State Bar No. 24100081

411 N. Sam Houston Parkway E., Suite 200

Houston, Texas 77060

Principal Office No. 713-334-6121

Facsimile: 713-322-5953

[James@mma-pllc.com](mailto:James@mma-pllc.com)

[Zach@mma-pllc.com](mailto:Zach@mma-pllc.com)

[Derek@mma-pllc.com](mailto:Derek@mma-pllc.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**



**CERTIFIED MAIL**

FELICIA PITRE  
DISTRICT CLERK  
GEORGE L. ALLEN SR COURT BLDG  
600 COMMERCE ST STE 103  
DALLAS, TX 75202-4689



9214 8901 0661 5400 0104 9927 57


RETURN RECEIPT (ELECTRONIC)

DC-17-03855 DB

STATE FARM LLOYDS  
BY SERVING REGISTERED AGENT CORPORATION SERVICE  
COMPANY  
211 E 7TH ST STE 620  
AUSTIN, TX 78701-3218

**SHOW TO WHOM  
DELIVERED, Date &  
Addressee's  
Address**

**Deliver to  
Addressee  
ONLY**



FIRST CLASS

## **Tab 3**

**CIVIL PROCESS REQUEST**

FOR EACH PARTY SERVED YOU MUST FURNISH ONE (1) COPY OF THE PLEADING  
FOR WRITS FURNISH TWO (2) COPIES OF THE PLEADING PER PARTY TO BE SERVED

CASE NUMBER: DC-17-03855 CURRENT COURT: \_\_\_\_\_

TYPE OF INSTRUMENT TO BE SERVED (See Reverse For Types): Original Petition

FILE DATE OF MOTION: 03/31/2017  
Month/ Day/ Year

SERVICE TO BE ISSUED ON (Please List Exactly As The Name Appears In The Pleading To Be Served):

1. NAME: State Farm Lloyds

ADDRESS: 211 East 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701-3218

AGENT, (if applicable): Corporation Service Company

TYPE OF SERVICE/PROCESS TO BE ISSUED (see reverse for specific type): Citation

SERVICE BY (check one):

- ☐ ATTORNEY PICK-UP ☐ CONSTABLE  
☐ CIVIL PROCESS SERVER - Authorized Person to Pick-up: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ MAIL ☒ CERTIFIED MAIL  
☐ PUBLICATION:  
Type of Publication: ☐ COURTHOUSE DOOR, or  
☐ NEWSPAPER OF YOUR CHOICE: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ OTHER, explain \_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

2. NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

AGENT, (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

TYPE OF SERVICE/PROCESS TO BE ISSUED (see reverse for specific type): \_\_\_\_\_

SERVICE BY (check one):

- ☐ ATTORNEY PICK-UP ☐ CONSTABLE  
☐ CIVIL PROCESS SERVER - Authorized Person to Pick-up: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ MAIL ☒ CERTIFIED MAIL  
☐ PUBLICATION:  
Type of Publication: ☐ COURTHOUSE DOOR, or  
☐ NEWSPAPER OF YOUR CHOICE: \_\_\_\_\_  
☐ OTHER, explain \_\_\_\_\_

ATTORNEY (OR ATTORNEY'S AGENT) REQUESTING SERVICE:

NAME: James M. McClenny TEXAS BAR NO./ID NO. 24091857

MAILING ADDRESS: 411 N. Sam Houston Pkwy E., Suite 200, Houston, TX 77060

PHONE NUMBER: 713 334-6121 FAX NUMBER: 713 322-5953  
area code phone number area code fax number

EMAIL ADDRESS: james@mma-pllc.com

**INSTRUMENTS TO BE SERVED:**

(Fill In Instrument Sequence Number, i.e. 1st, 2nd, etc.)

**ORIGINAL PETITION**

\_\_\_\_\_ AMENDED PETITION  
\_\_\_\_\_ SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION

**COUNTERCLAIM**

\_\_\_\_\_ AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM  
\_\_\_\_\_ SUPPLEMENTAL COUNTERCLAIM

**CROSS-ACTION:**

\_\_\_\_\_ AMENDED CROSS-ACTION  
\_\_\_\_\_ SUPPLEMENTAL CROSS-ACTION

**THIRD-PARTY PETITION:**

\_\_\_\_\_ AMENDED THIRD-PARTY PETITION  
\_\_\_\_\_ SUPPLEMENTAL THIRD-PARTY PETITION

**INTERVENTION:**

\_\_\_\_\_ AMENDED INTERVENTION  
\_\_\_\_\_ SUPPLEMENTAL INTERVENTION

**INTERPLEADER**

\_\_\_\_\_ AMENDED INTERPLEADER  
\_\_\_\_\_ SUPPLEMENTAL INTERPLEADER

**INJUNCTION**

**MOTION TO MODIFY**

**SHOW CAUSE ORDER**

**TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER**

**BILL OF DISCOVERY:**

ORDER TO: \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify)

MOTION TO: \_\_\_\_\_  
(specify)

**PROCESS TYPES:**

**NON WRIT:**

**CITATION**

ALIAS CITATION  
PLURIES CITATION  
SECRETARY OF STATE CITATION  
COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE  
HIGHWAY COMMISSIONER  
CITATION BY PUBLICATION  
NOTICE  
SHORT FORM NOTICE

PRECEPT (SHOW CAUSE)  
RULE 106 SERVICE

**SUBPOENA**

**WRITS:**

ATTACHMENT (PROPERTY)  
ATTACHMENT (WITNESS)  
ATTACHMENT (PERSON)

**CERTIORARI**

EXECUTION  
EXECUTION AND ORDER OF SALE

GARNISHMENT BEFORE JUDGMENT  
GARNISHMENT AFTER JUDGMENT

HABEAS CORPUS  
INJUNCTION  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

PROTECTIVE ORDER (FAMILY CODE)  
PROTECTIVE ORDER (CIVIL CODE)

POSSESSION (PERSON)  
POSSESSION (PROPERTY)

SCIRE FACIAS  
SEQUESTRATION  
SUPERSEDEAS

## **Tab 4**

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

STYLED Gerald Raider vs State Farm Lloyds

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filing.

<b>1. Contact information for person completing case information sheet:</b>		<b>Names of parties in case:</b>		<b>Person or entity completing sheet is:</b>	
Name:  James M. McClenny	Email:  James@mma-pllc.com	Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s):  Gerald Raider		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner <input type="checkbox"/> Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner <input type="checkbox"/> Title IV-D Agency <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	
Address:  411 N. Sam Houston Pkwy E.	Telephone:  713-344-6121	Defendant(s)/Respondent(s):  State Farm Lloyds		Additional Parties in Child Support Case:	
City/State/Zip:  Suite 200, Houston, TX 77060	Fax:  713-322-5986			Custodial Parent: _____	
Signature:  <i>James McClenny</i>	State Bar No:  24091857			Non-Custodial Parent: _____	
				Presumed Father: _____	
		[Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties]			
<b>2. Indicate case type, or identify the most important issue in the case (select only 1):</b>					
<b>Civil</b>			<b>Family Law</b>		
<b>Contract</b>	<b>Injury or Damage</b>	<b>Real Property</b>	<b>Marriage Relationship</b>	<b>Post-judgment Actions (non-Title IV-D)</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Debt/Contract <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer/DTPA <input type="checkbox"/> Debt/Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud/Misrepresentation <input type="checkbox"/> Other Debt/Contract: _____  <input type="checkbox"/> Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Home Equity—Expedited <input type="checkbox"/> Other Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Franchise <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> Landlord/Tenant <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Competition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Other Contract: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Assault/Battery <input type="checkbox"/> Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation <input type="checkbox"/> Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> Accounting <input type="checkbox"/> Legal <input type="checkbox"/> Medical <input type="checkbox"/> Other Professional Liability: <input type="checkbox"/> Motor Vehicle Accident <input type="checkbox"/> Premises <input type="checkbox"/> Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos/Silica <input type="checkbox"/> Other Product Liability List Product: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Other Injury or Damage: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Eminent Domain/Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> Partition <input type="checkbox"/> Quiet Title <input type="checkbox"/> Trespass to Try Title <input type="checkbox"/> Other Property: _____  <input type="checkbox"/> Related to Criminal Matters <input type="checkbox"/> Expunction <input type="checkbox"/> Judgment Nisi <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Seizure/Forfeiture <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Habeas Corpus—Pre-indictment <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Annulment <input type="checkbox"/> Declare Marriage Void <input type="checkbox"/> Divorce <input type="checkbox"/> With Children <input type="checkbox"/> No Children  <input type="checkbox"/> Other Family Law <input type="checkbox"/> Enforce Foreign Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Habeas Corpus <input type="checkbox"/> Name Change <input type="checkbox"/> Protective Order <input type="checkbox"/> Removal of Disabilities of Minority <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Modification—Custody <input type="checkbox"/> Modification—Other  <input type="checkbox"/> Title IV-D <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement/Modification <input type="checkbox"/> Paternity <input type="checkbox"/> Reciprocity (UIFSA) <input type="checkbox"/> Support Order  <input type="checkbox"/> Parent-Child Relationship <input type="checkbox"/> Adoption/Adoption with Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Child Protection <input type="checkbox"/> Child Support <input type="checkbox"/> Custody or Visitation <input type="checkbox"/> Gestational Parenting <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent Access <input type="checkbox"/> Parentage/Paternity <input type="checkbox"/> Termination of Parental Rights <input type="checkbox"/> Other Parent-Child: _____	
<b>Employment</b>	<b>Other Civil</b>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination <input type="checkbox"/> Retaliation <input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Workers' Compensation <input type="checkbox"/> Other Employment: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Appeal <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Unfair Competition <input type="checkbox"/> Code Violations <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual Property  <input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer Discipline <input type="checkbox"/> Perpetuate Testimony <input type="checkbox"/> Securities/Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Tortious Interference <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____				
<b>Tax</b>	<b>Probate &amp; Mental Health</b>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Tax Appraisal <input type="checkbox"/> Tax Delinquency <input type="checkbox"/> Other Tax	<b>Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Dependent Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Independent Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Other Estate Proceedings		<input type="checkbox"/> Guardianship—Adult <input type="checkbox"/> Guardianship—Minor <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		
<b>3. Indicate procedure or remedy, if applicable (may select more than 1):</b>					
<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal from Municipal or Justice Court <input type="checkbox"/> Arbitration-related <input type="checkbox"/> Attachment <input type="checkbox"/> Bill of Review <input type="checkbox"/> Certiorari <input type="checkbox"/> Class Action		<input type="checkbox"/> Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Garnishment <input type="checkbox"/> Interpleader <input type="checkbox"/> License <input type="checkbox"/> Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> Post-judgment		<input type="checkbox"/> Prejudgment Remedy <input type="checkbox"/> Protective Order <input type="checkbox"/> Receiver <input type="checkbox"/> Sequestration <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction <input type="checkbox"/> Turnover	
<b>4. Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law case):</b>					
<input type="checkbox"/> Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees <input type="checkbox"/> Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000					

## **Tab 5**



**101<sup>st</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**  
GEORGE L. ALLEN COURTS BUILDING  
600 COMMERCE STREET  
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-4604

April 03, 2017

STATE FARM LLOYDS  
SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY  
211 EAST 7TH STREET  
AUSTIN TX 78701

Cause No: DC-17-03855

GERALD RAIDER  
vs.  
STATE FARM LLOYDS

ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD AND PRO SE PARTIES:

The above case is set for dismissal, pursuant to Rule 165A, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and pursuant to the inherent power of the Court, on:

**May 25, 2017 at 9:00 AM**

If no answer has been filed, you are expected to have moved for a default judgment on or prior to that date. Your failure to have done so will result in the dismissal of the case on the above date.

If you have been unable to obtain service of process and you wish to retain the case on the docket, you must appear on the above date, unless you have obtained a new setting from the court coordinator.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Steve Walker".

District Judge,  
101<sup>st</sup> Judicial District Court

CC: STATE FARM LLOYDS; JAMES M MCCLENNY



## **Tab 6**

OFFICER'S RETURN

FILED

17 APR -7 AM 2:20

Case No. : DC-17-03855

Court No. 101st District Court

Style: GERALD RAIDER

vs.

FELICIA PITRE  
DISTRICT CLERK  
DALLAS CO., TEXAS  
DEPUTY

STATE FARM LLOYDS

Came to hand on the 4th day of April, 20 17, at 9 o'clock A.M. Executed at 2117th st ste 620  
within the County of AUSTIN TX at 9:07 o'clock A.M. on the 11th day of April  
20 17, by delivering to the within named

State Farm Lloyds By u.s. certified mail  
Return Receipt Received signed Chris Saizan

each, in person, a true copy of this Citation together with the accompanying copy of this pleading, having first endorsed on same date of delivery. The distance actually traveled by me in serving such process was \_\_\_\_\_ miles and my fees are as follows: To certify which witness my hand.

For serving Citation

\$ 76<sup>00</sup>

For mileage

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

For Notary

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

Chris Saizan

of \_\_\_\_\_ County, \_\_\_\_\_

By AB Deputy

(Must be verified if served outside the State of Texas.)

Signed and sworn to by the said \_\_\_\_\_ before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_\_

to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.

FELICIA PITRE  
DISTRICT CLERK  
600 COMMERCE STREET  
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-4606

Notary Public \_\_\_\_\_ County \_\_\_\_\_

**FORM NO. 353-3 - CITATION  
THE STATE OF TEXAS**

To:

**STATE FARM LLOYDS  
SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY  
211 EAST 7TH STREET  
AUSTIN TX 78701**

**GREETINGS:**

You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10 o'clock a.m. of the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you. Your answer should be addressed to the clerk of the **101st District Court** at 600 Commerce Street, Ste. 101, Dallas, Texas 75202.

Said Plaintiff being **GERALD RAIDER**

Filed in said Court **31st day of March, 2017** against

**STATE FARM LLOYDS**

For Suit, said suit being numbered **DC-17-03855**, the nature of which demand is as follows:  
Suit on **CNTR CNSMR COM DEBT** etc. as shown on said petition **REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE, PRODUCTION AND INTERROGATORIES**, a copy of which accompanies this citation. If this citation is not served, it shall be returned unexecuted.

WITNESS: FELICIA PITRE, Clerk of the District Courts of Dallas, County Texas.  
Given under my hand and the Seal of said Court at office this 4th day of April, 2017.

ATTEST: FELICIA PITRE, Clerk of the District Courts of Dallas, County, Texas

By , Deputy  
DENOSHA BOSTON



**CERT MAIL**

**CITATION**

**DC-17-03855**

**GERALD RAIDER  
vs.  
STATE FARM LLOYDS**

**ISSUED THIS  
4th day of April, 2017**


**FELICIA PITRE  
Clerk District Courts,  
Dallas County, Texas**

By: DENOSHA BOSTON, Deputy

**Attorney for Plaintiff  
JAMES M MCCLENNY  
411 N SAM HOUSTON PKWY E  
SUITE 200  
HOUSTON TX 77060  
713-334-6121**

**DALLAS COUNTY CONSTABLE  
FEES  
PAID  
FEES NOT  
PAID**

FELICIA PITRE  
DISTRICT CLERK  
GEORGE L. ALLEN SR COURT BLDG  
600 COMMERCE ST STE 103  
DALLAS, TX 75202-4689



9214 8901 0661 5400 0104 9927 57

RETURN RECEIPT (ELECTRONIC)

DC-17-03855 DB

STATE FARM LLOYDS  
BY SERVING REGISTERED AGENT CORPORATION SERVICE  
COMPANY  
211 E 7TH ST STE 620  
AUSTIN, TX 78701-3218

CUT / FOLD HERE

6"x9" ENVELOPE  
CUT / FOLD HERE

CUT / FOLD HERE




Date: April 11, 2017

MAIL MAIL:

The following is in response to your April 11, 2017 request for delivery information on your Certified Mail™/RRE item number 92148901066154000104992757. The delivery record shows that this item was delivered on April 11, 2017 at 9:07 am in AUSTIN, TX 78744. The scanned image of the recipient information is provided below.

Signature of Recipient :

Delivery Section  
  
Chris Saizan

Address of Recipient :

211 E. 7th # 620

Thank you for selecting the Postal Service for your mailing needs.

If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office or postal representative.

Sincerely,  
United States Postal Service

The customer reference information shown below is not validated or endorsed by the United States Postal Service. It is solely for customer use.

Reference ID: 92148901066154000104992757  
DC-17-03855 DB  
STATE FARM LLOYDS  
BY SERVING REGISTERED AGENT CORPORATION SERVICE  
COMPANY  
211 E 7th St Ste 620  
Austin, TX 78701-3218

## **Tab 7**

**CAUSE NO. DC-17-03855**

**GERALD RAIDER**

**Plaintiff,**

**VS.**

**STATE FARM LLOYDS**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF**

**101<sup>st</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

**DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS**

---

**ORIGINAL ANSWER OF STATE FARM LLOYDS**

---

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES Defendant State Farm Lloyds ("State Farm") and hereby files its Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition, and for same would show the Court as follows:

I.

State Farm denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations set forth in Plaintiff's Original Petition, and demands strict proof thereof upon a trial of this cause before a jury.

**JURY DEMAND**

In accordance with Rule 216 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, State Farm demands a trial by jury. A jury fee has been paid.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that Plaintiff takes nothing from Defendant by this action and that Defendant be allowed all costs expended herein.

Respectfully submitted,

**THE LAW OFFICE OF ARMANDO DE DIEGO, P.C.**

By: /s/ Armando De Diego  
ARMANDO DE DIEGO  
State Bar No. 05635400  
Email: [adediego@dediego.com](mailto:adediego@dediego.com)

HARVEY G. JOSEPH  
State Bar No. 11027850  
Email: [hjoseph@dediego.com](mailto:hjoseph@dediego.com)

1201 W. Griffin Street  
Dallas, Texas 75215-1030  
Telephone: (214) 426-1220  
Facsimile: (214) 426-1246

**ATTORNEYS FOR STATE FARM LLOYDS**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been personally served, as indicated below:

Mr. James M. McClenny  
Mr. J. Zachary Moseley  
Mr. Derek L. Fadner  
**MCCLENNY MOSELEY & ASSOCIATES, PLLC**  
411 N. Sam Houston Parkway E., Suite 200  
Houston, Texas 77060

✓ Via Electronic Service  
       Via Certified Mail  
       Via Facsimile  
       Via Regular Mail

/s/ Armando De Diego  
ARMANDO DE DIEGO



## **Tab 8**



101ST DISTRICT COURT  
GEORGE L. ALLEN, SR. COURTS BUILDING  
600 COMMERCE STREET  
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-4604

May 04, 2017

ARMANDO DE DIEGO  
1201 WEST GRIFFIN STREET  
DALLAS TX 75215

Re: DC-17-03855  
GERALD RAIDER vs. STATE FARM LLOYDS

ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD/PRO SE LITIGANTS:  
PLEASE TAKE NOTE OF THE FOLLOWING SETTINGS:

Jury Trial - Civil: 01/09/2018 @ 9:00 AM

Trial announcements **MUST BE MADE** in accordance with Rule 3.02, Local Rules of the Civil Court of Dallas County, Texas.

When **NO** announcement is made for defendant, defendant will be presumed ready. If **ANY** plaintiff fails to announce or to appear at trial, the case will be dismissed for want of prosecution in accordance with Rule 165a, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

Completion of discovery, presentation of pretrial motions, and other matters relating to the preparation for trial, are controlled by the Scheduling Order in this case or by the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, in the event no Scheduling Order has been signed by the Court.

The Mediator may be selected by agreement of all parties. The parties must advise both the Court and the Mediator in writing of any agreed Mediator.

Please forward a copy of this notice to counsel of record for each party and all pro se parties by a method approved in Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 21a.

SINCERELY,

Honorable STACI WILLIAMS  
JUDGE, 101ST DISTRICT COURT  
DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

pc: JAMES M MCCLENNY; ARMANDO DE DIEGO